

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/806,568	MORIKAWA ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas J. Siepmann, Ph.D.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2006
Time: 12:40 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5-11, 13-15, 17 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The participants discussed claim language that would put the claims in condition for allowance. Applicants' representative, Dr. Siepmann granted the Examiner permission to cancel withdrawn claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)